



ASSOCIATION OF
FAMILY AND
CONCILIATION COURTS



eNEWS

President's Message: AFCC in the House of Lords

Hon. Peter Boshier, Wellington, New Zealand

Who could have imagined that when AFCC, in its strategic plan, decided it should go more global, it would result in the holding of a formal, high-powered reception in the hallowed House of Lords in London? As my presidency draws to a close, it is satisfying to know that we have planted seeds for more members and more engagement in England and Ireland. [Read more.](#)

[Amazing Auction Items](#) at the Annual Conference in Seattle

Plan to attend the annual silent auction Friday evening, June 3. A fun time to socialize and pick up unique items, all while supporting AFCC special projects and initiatives.

Ask the Experts: Six Core Components of Effective Child Inclusive Mediation Practice

Jennifer E. McIntosh, PhD

From its origins as a 'bare bones' intervention within a small pilot study (McIntosh, 2000), the expansion of child inclusive mediation and counseling methods and evidence has been widespread. With this, the requisite clinical skills with respect to developmental knowledge, therapeutic approach and mediation process underpinning the efficacy of child inclusion are better understood. Ahead of McIntosh's presentation on child inclusive mediation and

MONTHLY E-NEWSLETTER
VOL. 11 NO. 5
MAY 2016

Upcoming Conferences and Trainings



AFCC 53rd Annual Conference

Modern Families:

New Challenges, New Solutions

June 1-4, 2016

Sheraton Seattle Hotel
Seattle, Washington

[Registration and program brochure](#)

Special thanks to our conference

counseling at the Seattle AFCC conference, this brief article considers six essential elements that combine to make a child inclusive dispute resolution process transformative, for children and parents. [Read more.](#)

Things to Do in Seattle, Besides Attend the Annual Conference

[28 Unexpectedly Awesome Things to Do in Seattle](#)—
Buzzfeed

[Top Things to Do in Seattle](#)—Trip Advisor

[19 Free Things to Do in Seattle](#)—Thrillist

Still Time to Register for the Annual Conference in Seattle

If you've not registered yet, there is still time. [Complete your registration online](#) and save time onsite.

RSI Announces New Child Protection Mediation Program

Resolution Systems Institute announced they are partnering with the 16th Judicial Circuit Court of Kane County, Illinois, to develop a child protection mediation program. The program will bring parents, foster families, agency representatives and others into the room with a pair of mediators to work through their issues, in an effort to bring abused and neglected children into safe, stable homes. With funding from the Illinois Equal Justice Foundation and the Illinois Bar Foundation, RSI's Kane County Mediation Program Manager Kevin Malone aims to launch the program in December 2016. [Read more.](#)

Attend a Pre-Conference Institute in Seattle

Earn up to six hours continuing education when you attend an all-day pre-conference institute on Wednesday, June 1, 2016. Call the AFCC office, 608-664-3750, to add one to your registration. Just \$170 for members, \$215 for non-members, or stop by the registration table onsite. [Read more.](#)

Free eBook Download of the Month

Beginning this month, as a member benefit, AFCC will offer free access to an electronic version of an AFCC publication. The link to access the book will be active for one month. The only place to get this excellent perk is right here in the

sponsors:

Diamond Sponsor
OurFamilyWizard.com



**Platinum Sponsor Stable Paths
and Transitioning Families**



**AFCC 12th Symposium on Child
Custody Evaluations**
*Abuse, Alienation and Gatekeeping:
Critical Issues for Family Court
Professionals*
November 3–5, 2016
Sheraton Atlanta Hotel
Atlanta, Georgia

Chapter Annual Conferences

[Minnesota Chapter Annual Conference](#)

July 14, 2016
St. Paul, Minnesota

[Australia Chapter Annual Conference](#)

August 18-20, 2016
Brisbane, Queensland

[Wisconsin Chapter Annual Conference](#)

September 16, 2016
Pewaukee, Wisconsin

[Florida Chapter Annual Conference](#)

September 28-30, 2016
Orlando, Florida

[Indiana Chapter Annual Conference](#)

October 7, 2016
Indianapolis, Indiana

AFCC eNEWS! This month, the ebook is *Innovations in Family Law Practice*, which chronicles the changing landscape of family law practice. Chapters examine the development of new legal processes, implementation of new professional roles, promotion of family self-determination, and innovative responses to scarcity of resources. Edited by: Kelly Browe Olson and Nancy Ver Steegh. [View and download the eBook](#) (members only). [Join today](#) to get access. [View the table of contents](#). [Order this book](#) and other AFCC publications—members save 20%!

Member News

Paul Stenzel, Shorewood, Wisconsin, has joined the family law team at Hansen & Hildebrand SC. He currently serves as a part-time Deputy Family Court Commissioner in Racine County.

Chapter News

Congratulations to new chapter presidents!

Donna Feinberg, Massachusetts Chapter

Stacy Heard, Washington Chapter

Mike Kretzmer, California Chapter

Staff News

Erin Sommerfeld, AFCC Marketing and Communication Manager, has accepted a position in the private sector and will be leaving AFCC at the end of May 2016. In her ten years with AFCC, Erin has moved from a part-time program assistant to overseeing marketing and communications, serving as editor of the *AFCC eNEWS*, and managing the AFCC Silent Auction and Scholarship Program. The AFCC family wishes Erin well in her future endeavors.

Family Mediation Canada Conference

Family Mediation Manitoba and Family Mediation Canada are pleased to announce their 2016 Conference in Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, at the Inn at the Forks Hotel, November 4-5, 2016. The two day conference will feature advanced level training with presenter, Kenneth Cloke, an internationally recognized mediator, trainer and author.

[Read more.](#)

7th World Congress on Family Law and Children's

[Colorado Chapter Annual Conference](#)

October 7-9, 2016
Breckenridge, Colorado

[Ontario Chapter Annual Conference](#)

October 21, 2016
Toronto, Ontario

[Arizona Chapter Annual Conference](#)


January 27-29, 2017
Sedona, Arizona

Are you an AFCC member? [Join](#) or [Renew](#)

The opinions expressed in articles published or linked to in the *AFCC eNEWS* are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the positions of the Association of Family and Conciliation Courts.

Editor:

Erin Sommerfeld
editor@afccnet.org



[Unsubscribe](#)

AFCC | 6525 Grand Teton Plaza |
Madison, WI | 53719 | 608-664-
3750 | afcc@afccnet.org |
www.afccnet.org

Rights

Save the dates: June 4-7, 2017, in Dublin, Ireland. AFCC has enjoyed a long standing association with World Congress and invites members to attend and participate in the [7th World Congress](#). The World Congress enhances, promotes and protects the human rights of children and young people bringing together individuals and organisations that have influence on the legal and justice system. [Abstracts are being accepted through June 30, 2016.](#)



ASSOCIATION OF
FAMILY AND
CONCILIATION COURTS



eNEWS

VOL. 11 NO. 5
MAY 2016

President's Message: AFCC in the House of Lords

Hon. Peter Boshier, Wellington, New Zealand

Who could have imagined that when AFCC, in its strategic plan, decided it should go more global, it would result in the holding of a formal, high-powered reception in the hallowed House of Lords in London? As my presidency draws to a close, it is satisfying to know that we have planted seeds for more members and more engagement in England and Ireland.

To go back a little in time, the AFCC Board was very conscious that while we were well known in the United States and Canada, our reach beyond North America, but particularly in Europe needed enhancing. And so, the board agreed to a strategic plan wherein we would make our presence felt to a greater extent, elsewhere. But how to actually achieve this was the challenge.

On 18 April, the executive director Peter Salem and I commenced a weeklong tour beginning in Dublin with a workshop, then in London with a series of meetings with judiciary, mediation and welfare organisations. The trip then culminated in a presentation we gave to a very large organisation called Resolution at their annual conference in Newcastle-Upon-Tyne on Friday the 22nd of April. We were given a display table at the Resolution conference so our presence could be sustained throughout the duration of the conference.

AFCC members Roisin O'Shea in Dublin and Janet Walker in England were instrumental in helping us organise this very detailed trip and in connecting us with the right people. As an example, it was Janet Walker who managed to secure the highly prestigious reception in the House of Lords. We presented gifts to Baroness Claire Tyler who was our host and also to Janet Walker. The accompanying pictures give a flavour for what occurred.



Hon. Peter Boshier and Baroness Claire Tyler of Enfield.



Prof. Janet Walker, Sir James Lawrence Munby, and Hon. Peter Boshier

So, what did we learn from this venture and what of the future? Unsurprisingly, similar themes and challenges are evident in Ireland, Great Britain, the United States and elsewhere. It has to do with governments cutting back on investment in private law cases, especially family law cases. For instance in England, legal aid is now virtually unavailable to any person in a private law case. An obvious consequence is that access to justice for many is now more difficult and more stressful.

However, what was very evident in all of our meetings was the rise of and importance attached to early intervention, use of mediation and the judicial setting, more proactive conferencing and early identification of issues. In other words, reliance on conventional court work to resolve family disputes may be much less evident.

What AFCC does so very well is offer a depth of knowledge and resources. The thirst for more knowledge as to what others are doing and what projects we have initiated and for what purpose were demonstrable.

I hope that there will now be opportunities for all AFCC members to have wider opportunities for collaboration and to share more on the global stage. We have started in this trip to extend our presence, and I know that momentum will ensure a long term and meaningful international stage.



Hon. Peter Boshier, Prof. Janet Walker, Peter Salem, and Justice Andrew Moylan.



Katherine Irwin, Roisin O'Shea, David Hodson, Shane Dempsey, Sinéad Conneely, Hon. Peter Boshier, Justice Henry Abbott, and Peter Salem.



ASSOCIATION OF
FAMILY AND
CONCILIATION COURTS



eNEWS

VOL. 11 NO. 5
MAY 2016

Ask the Experts:

Six Core Components of Effective Child Inclusive Mediation Practice

Jennifer E. McIntosh, PhD

From its origins as a 'bare bones' intervention within a small pilot study (McIntosh, 2000), the expansion of child inclusive mediation and counseling methods and evidence has been widespread. With this, the requisite clinical skills with respect to developmental knowledge, therapeutic approach and mediation process underpinning the efficacy of child inclusion are better understood. Ahead of McIntosh's presentation on child inclusive mediation and counseling at the Seattle AFCC conference, this brief article considers six essential elements that combine to make a child inclusive dispute resolution process transformative, for children and parents.

Child inclusive divorce mediation is a unique approach to family law dispute resolution, with potentially transformative outcomes for parents and children. It is now used internationally in an array of complex family separation scenarios, across many contexts (International Social Service, 2015). This is a mediation method in which school aged children affected by the dispute are enabled by an independent and specially trained child consultant to share their experiences of their family separation. This consultant later attends a mediation session, to speak for the child, to convey and importantly, to translate the child's experiences and needs, in light of important developmental and contextual factors operating within the child and family. The goals of the approach are evidence based: to understand the child's developmental needs within their parents' separation; to motivate and scaffold a healthy co-parenting climate; to support an enactment of a parenting arrangement that enables these parents, in the context of their circumstances, to foster their child's optimal growth.

Two longitudinal studies and off-shoots from them have now been conducted (Ballard, Holtzworth-Munroe, Applegate, D'Onofrio, & Bates, 2013; McIntosh, Wells, Smyth, Long, 2008), each demonstrating the potential of the method to support lasting tertiary repair in co-parenting and parent-child relationships, relative to mainstream mediation, or to child focused educational methods.

The labels 'child inclusive' mediation and 'child informed' mediation are used somewhat interchangeably between these studies and across practices. The earliest approach to this work was called child inclusive divorce mediation (McIntosh, 2000). Moloney and McIntosh (2004) differentiated this from child focused processes, in which relevant educational information is shared with parents by the mediator or developmental specialist, but in which the child is not seen or heard. Peter Salem,

executive director of AFCC, coined the term 'child informed mediation' at a group think tank at Indiana University in 2008, to emphasize that the child's views would inform the mediation, but that the child him or herself was not physically included in the mediation. Internationally, some adopt one label and some the other, thus you will see publications under the two titles, which refer to the same method. I use both labels depending on where I am teaching, and revert here the generic term, "child inclusive."

Moving from its origins in early forms of child inclusive mediation nearly 20 years ago (McIntosh, 2000), a diversity of applications have emerged, across multiple contexts: marital counseling, parenting coordination, post-separation family therapy, contact centers, family court services, child protection, kinship placements, and of course divorce mediation. What sets this work apart from involvement of the child in custody evaluation and other forensic contexts is its therapeutic intent. Beyond the representation of the child's voice, the aims are to offer parents a developmental perspective on the dispute and its resolution, support parents' reflective capacities, motivate recovery from conflict, encourage relationship repair, and ultimately aid whole-of-family adjustment to the separation.

While the work is brief in most contexts, involving only one or two meetings with the child and one or two feedback and planning conversations with the parent and mediator, brevity does not equate with the complexity of the job at hand, nor of the skill involved. We encounter endless variations in the work. The child's story is never the same, and the care-giving context never identical. Equally, policy and practice contexts are not uniform, and frequently were not designed for this work. Where child inclusion requires something of a retro-fit in some contexts, in other settings, the very principles of child inclusion have proactively shaped responsive policies and practices (McIntosh, Bryant & Murray, 2008).

Regardless of context, the following is a "good-to-know" guide to some key components of effective child inclusive practices.

1. The aims of child inclusion in family law disputes

Interviewing children in family law matters has several purposes, depending on the context, and the goal. In some, it is a forensic task. In others, it is about obtaining the child's wishes to pass on to parents or judges. For others, it's a rights based approach to seeking the child's input in decisions that pertain to them. Child inclusion transverses these domains, yet its core purposes transcend each. Its aims are to:

- i. protect, repair, and support children's developmental pathways through their separated family life, by
- ii. safely and skilfully enabling the child (4 years+) to share their separation story, with a trained child specialist, who will
- iii. represent the child's experiences and their developmental implications within the mediation context,
- iv. enabling parents' reflection on and response to their children's needs, and
- v. offering parents and mediators case specific developmental input to inform decision making in this family's unique context, and with the mediation team, in order to
- vi. support the generation of a customized, durable, developmentally sound and responsive parenting plan.

2. The mechanisms of change in child inclusion

The mechanisms of impact in child inclusive mediation and counseling lie in the nature of the story we enable the child to tell, the developmental knowledge that creates the lens we view that story through, and the conversations we build with each parent about both. Each aspect of the intervention is ultimately in the service of supporting parents to their higher ground—whatever that might be for them—in their ability to see and sensitively respond to their child’s experiences and needs. The process is non-linear, and far from simply reporting to parents what their child said. It is a translational exercise that works within the capacity of each parent. Its targets are evidence based, aiming at tertiary prevention of developmental burden for children, by minimizing exposures to relational toxins, and maximizing the facilitating environment within and between parents.

3. Fitting the approach to the family

Child inclusive mediation is not a fixed intervention, with rigid eligibility criteria. Suitability is not a dichotomous quality that a case either has or does not have. So, instead of asking, “is this case right for a child inclusive approach,” the better question is often to ask “can we provide a child inclusive intervention, that adds value to the parents’ mediation process, and to their children’s lives at this point in time?” Assessing appropriateness is a critical first step in the work. In short, if a case has been screened “in” for mediation, then there’s a good chance child inclusive approach might be too. The ‘how to’ of assessing initial fit is complex and involves a careful appraisal of each parent’s reflective capacities, to understand whether and at what level to proceed (further details [here](#)). Beyond intake assessment, practitioners carefully follow each parent’s ability to take on board new perspectives about their child’s experiences as the conversations progress, particularly when feedback may run counter to long held beliefs or wishes. This is bread and butter work for the trained child consultant, who constantly adapts the nature and amount of information to support and not exceed the capacity of either parent to hear and make use of the material.

4. Being with the child in a child inclusive intervention

Novice child consultants sometimes get caught up in the technique of interviewing children of divorce and lose sight of the purpose of that conversation. In fact, whenever a student is stuck, recourse to their purpose in being with the child always provides the answer about what to do or say next. And that purpose is to find the child’s voice, by engaging warmly, establishing confidentiality and its limits, and giving each child the presence, support and tools (verbal and representational) they need to tell their unique story about these things:

- i. Their parents’ conflict and/or separation: “*What is it like to be me, in this situation, with my parents separated, and in this kind of conflict?*”
- ii. The child’s relationships with each parent/caregiver: “*What is it like to be me, being dependent on each parent, and on the space between them?*”
- iii. The child’s thoughts and needs about future options: “*Given how things are with my family, what might help me to feel more secure and better supported?*”

The child consultant who enables the child to feel supported and safely vulnerable is permitted into the recesses of experience that matter most to understanding the

child's needs, and helping their parents' do the same. There are multiple methods and skills for enabling children to feel comfortable to share their story, and important guides for speaking with children who have significant difficulty in having, feeling, expressing and regulating a full range of their emotional life, often following trauma (specialist training information [here](#)).

5. Representing the child's voice in the mediation

After spending time with the child, the child consultant takes part in a conversation with parents and mediator/s. Clinical judgement is needed in shaping the pace, content and flow of that conversation, and determining which components of it parents might participate in together, and which apart. Throughout, the consultant shares integral pieces of the child's communications—in words and pictures—and acts as a developmental translator. Often the consultant talks about evident 'hot spots' in the child's experiences that may lead to developmental trouble if unchanged, for example, when children seem unduly burdened by acrimony, triangulation, and so forth. The task is to offer parents a supported, non-judgemental thinking space, building reflection and avoiding reaction. These conversations are the art and science of child inclusive mediation. Again, the skill set is complex, yet invaluable.

6. Documentation in the spirit of CI

Carrying on the intent and tone of the child inclusive conversation into the documentation of decisions is key to cementing good outcomes. Child inclusive plans are different from others, capturing the essence of the child's 'agenda,' often distinct from either parent's agenda, and documenting the higher ground the child needs their parents to aspire to. A good child inclusive parenting plan is thoughtfully constructed, as a tool for anchoring co-parenting to the child's needs, reminding parents of their higher intentions, as well as documenting the formal agreements about time, and so on. The efficacy of these documents was studied by Rudd, Ogle, Holtzworth-Munroe, Applegate, & D'Onofrio. (2015), who compared frequency of re-litigation following a randomized allocation to one of three forms of mediation: child inclusive, child focused, or mediation as usual. Using court records, one year after the final resolution of mediation issues, they found lowest rates of re-litigation for child inclusive mediation. Child inclusive mediation agreements included more aspirational language about co-parental communication and about the parent-child relationship, and more numerate and specific provisions about communication between parents. In turn, these agreements were rated higher in facilitating the co-parental relationship and child-adjustment.

In summary, the work of child inclusive mediation is complex, yet the potential gains in post-separation adjustment for children and parents suggest a good return for investments by mediation services in up-skilling for this intervention.

Prof. McIntosh will expand on and illustrate this paper at her forthcoming workshop at the AFCC Annual Conference in Seattle, "Notes from a Masterclass on Child Inclusive Mediation." Her training and resource portal, ChildrenBeyondDispute.com, is a Gold Sponsor of the Seattle conference. The site houses four levels of training in child inclusive mediation and counseling (CIMC): Introduction (1 hour), CIMC for Mediators (14 hours), CIMC for Child Consultants (18 hours), and a Refresher on Child Interview Skills (5 hours). Jennifer McIntosh is a clinical and developmental

psychologist, professor of psychology at Deakin University, fellow of the Murdoch Children's Research Institute, senior fellow of the University of Melbourne, and adjunct professor at La Trobe University, Australia. She is director of Family Transitions, and its educational portal, ChildrenBeyondDispute.com, which houses extensive resources and training relevant to child inclusive mediation.

References

Ballard, R., Holtzworth-Munroe, A., Applegate, A., D'Onofrio, B. & Bates, J. (2013). A Randomized Controlled Trial of Child-Informed Mediation. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 271–281. <http://psycnet.apa.org/journals/law/19/3/271/>

International Social Service (2015). *Resolving Family Conflicts: A Guide to International Mediation*. Geneva. <http://www.iss-ssi.org/index.php/en/what-we-do-en/mediation-en - 1-1-project-1-a-guide-to-international-family-mediation>

Holtzworth-Munroe, A., Applegate, A.G., D'Onofrio, B., Bates, J. (2010). Child Informed Mediation Study (CIMS): Incorporating the children's perspective into divorce mediation in an American pilot study. *Journal of Family Studies* Vol. 16, Iss. 2, 116-129

McIntosh, J.E., Wells, Y.D., Smyth, B.M. & Long, C.M. (2008). Child-focused and child-inclusive divorce mediation: comparative outcomes from a prospective study of post separation adjustment. *Family Court Review*, Vol. 46, No. 1, January, 105-124. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1744-1617.2007.00186.x/full>

McIntosh, J. , Wells, Y. and Long, C. (2009) Children Beyond Dispute. A four year follow up study of outcomes from Child Focused and Child Inclusive post-separation family dispute resolution. Report to the Australian Government Attorney General's Department, Canberra. <https://www.ag.gov.au/Publications/Pages/ChildrenBeyondDispute-April2009.aspx>

McIntosh, J.E., Bryant, D., Murray, K. (2008). Evidence of a different nature: the child responsive and less adversarial initiatives of the Family Court of Australia. *Family Court Review*, Vol. 46, No. 1, January, 125-136. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1744-1617.2007.00187.x/abstract>

Moloney, L. & McIntosh, J. (2004). Child responsive practices in Australian Family Law: Past problems and future directions. *Journal of Family Studies*, Vol. 10, No.1, 71-86.

McIntosh, J. (2000) Child Inclusive Divorce Mediation: Report on a qualitative research study. *Mediation Quarterly*, 18, pp 55-70. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/crq.3890180106/>

Rudd, B.N., Ogle, R.K., Holtzworth-Munroe, A., Applegate, A.G., & D'Onofrio, B.M. (2015). Child-informed mediation study follow up: Comparing the frequency of re-litigation following different types of mediation. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*. Advance online publication. doi: 10.1037/law0000046 <http://psycnet.apa.org/psycinfo/2015-22632-001/>

CONTENTS

Preface	7
Introduction	9
Chapter 1: The Ineffective Family Lawyer <i>Andrea Kupfer Schneider & Nancy Mills</i>	13
Chapter 2: Collaborative Practice <i>Susan A. Hansen & Gregory M. Hildebrand</i>	29
Chapter 3: Cooperative Negotiation Agreements: Using Contracts to Make a Safe Place for a Difficult Conversation <i>David A. Hoffman</i>	63
Chapter 4: Family Law Self-Help Centers <i>Pamela Cardullo Ortiz</i>	87
Chapter 5: Unbundling Legal Services to Help Divorcing Families <i>Forrest S. Mosten</i>	117
Chapter 6: The Evolution of the Family Court Duty Counsel Program in Ontario <i>Carmelo Runco</i>	155
Contributors	169
Appendices: Chapters 2, 3 and 5 have electronic appendices available online. To access the appendices, go to: http://www.afccnet.org/resources/resources_professionals.asp	